Resources Units 1-2

Contents

Teaching Resources	Pages
Reading Level Translation Key	R2
Phonics Picture Card Index	R3
Cumulative Key Word List	R4
Professional Resources	Pages
Research Base and Bibliography	R5
Language Transfer Chart	R14
Phonics Transfer Chart	R22
Scope and Sequence	S&S1
Additional Resources	Pages
Index Acknowledgements	

Reading Level Translation Key

	Guided Reading	DRA	Lexile®	Reading Recovery	
	А	A-2		A-2	
Κ	В	3		3	K
	с			4	
	D	4		5	
				6	
	E	6		7	
	F	8		8	
1			200L-400L	9	1
	G	10		10	
	н			11	
	I	12		12	
_	J	14		14	
				15	
2		16	200L-400L		2
2	К				2
	L-M	18-28	300L-500L	18–20	
2		20.20	5001 7001	22.24	2
3	N-P	30-38	500L-700L	22–24	3
4	Q-R	40	650L-850L	26	4
F	S-U	44	750L-950L	28	E
5	5-0	44	750L-950L	28	5
6	V-W	50	850L-1000L		6
7	X-Z		1000L-1185L		7
	Λ-Ζ		10001-11031		

Reading levels are provided for each title in the *National Geographic Reach for Reading* Grade 1–2 Leveled Reading and Grade 3–6 Small Group Reading lessons. Please note that each leveling system is based on a different set of criteria. This may result in discrepancies when translating reading levels.

Phonics Picture Card Index

Card	Letter/Word	Card	Letter/Word	Card	Letter/Word	Card	Letter/Word
1	Mm	60	Cc	118	Nn	176	li
2	man	61	cage	119	nail	177	igloo
3	mask	62	cake	120	necklace	178	iguana
4	mitten	63	can	121	needle	179	ill
5	monkey	64	сар	122	nest	180	insect
6	moon	65	car	123	nine		
7	mop	66	carrot	124	noodles	181	Оо
	•	67		124		182	octopus
8	mouse		cat		nose	183	olive
9	Ss	68	cup	126	nut	184	ostrich
10	saw	69	Rr	127	Vv	185	otter
11	seal	70	rabbit	128	vacuum		
12	seven	71	rake	129	valentine	186	Uu
13	sign	72	red	130	van	187	umbrella
14	sink	73	ribbon	131	vase	188	umpire
15		73		132	vest	189	underwear
	soap		ring			Blend	s with l
16	sock	75	rope	133	violin	190	block
17	Ff	76	rug	134	Ww		
18	fan	77	ruler	_ 135	wallet	191	clam
19	feather	78	Tt	136	watch	192	clock
20	fence	79	tape	137	watermelon	193	flag
21	fish	80	teapot	138	wig	194	flute
22	foot	81	tent	139	window	195	glass
						196	plate
23	fork	82	tiger	140	wing	197	sled
24	fox	83	tire	141	worm	198	slug
25	Hh	84	top	142	Jj		-
26	hammer	85	turtle	_ 143	jacket		s with r
27	hand	86	LI	144	judge	199	brick
28	hat	87	 ladder	145	jeans	200	crab
29	heart	88	lamp	146	jellybeans	201	drill
30		89	leaf	140		202	frog
	hen			147	jet	203	grass
31	horse	90	lemon	148	Zz	204	truck
32	hose	91	lion	149	zebra	Dland	s with s
33	Bb	92	lizard	150	zero		
34	baby	93	lock	_ 151	zipper	205	skunk
35	ball	94	Kk			206	sling
36	bat	95	kangaroo	152	Qq	207	squid
37	bear	96	key	153	quart	208	stamp
38	bell	97		154	quarter	209	string
			king Litebar	155	queen	210	swing
39	bike	98	kitchen	156	question mark	Digrap	oh ch
40	boat	99	kite	157	quilt	211	chick
41	book	100	kitten	- 150			
42	Рр	101	Dd	- 158	Xx	212	chin
43	pan	102	deer	159	a <u>x</u>	213	chip
44	parrot	103	desk	160	bo <u>x</u>	Digrap	oh sh
45	pear	104	dime	161	fo <u>x</u>	214	shell
46	pencil	104	dog	162	0 <u>X</u>	215	ship
	•	105	doll	163	si <u>x</u>	216	shoe
47	penguin			164	Aa	210	shrimp
48	pig	107	donkey	165	alligator	211	Similip
49 50	pizza	108	door	165	-		
50	pot	109	duck		anchor		
51	puppet	110	Yy	167	ant		
52	Gg	111	yacht	168	apple		
53	game	112	yam	169	astronaut		
53 54	-	112		170	Ee		
	gate aift		yarn	170			
55	gift	114	yawn		egg olbow		
56	girl	115	yellow	172	elbow		
57	goat	116	yolk	173	elephant		
58	gorilla	117	уо-уо	174 175	elevator		
59	guitar				envelope		

Grade K Cumulative Key Word List

High Frequency	Key Words	hire	size	Academic
Words	adult	hot	skill	Vocabulary
а	arrive	hungry	sky	belong
all	baby	insect	smell	better
and	barn	job	snowy	care
are	bloom	know	sound	carry
come	bright	late	spring	change
do	brother	laugh	stars	choose
find	build	leaf	store (different kinds,	compare
for	building	leave	such as grocery,	connect
from	buy	legs	clothing)	different
give	city	make	success	distance
go	class	map	summer	every
good	clouds	meal	sun	feel
have	cold	meet	sunny	grow
he	cook	moon	surprised	learn
here	cool	mother	tail	light
how	country	move	take	live
I	dance	neighborhood	taste	need
is	dark	parent	tomorrow	part
like	deliver	pest	tool	partner
little	dig	pick	town	people
look	early	plan	touch	place
me	earth	planet	travel	plant
my	eat	play	tree	powerful
no	excited	pond	vegetable	read
now	explore	practice	wait	safe
one	fall	project	warm	sense
play	far	put	water (v.)	think
put	father	rainy	weather	time
said	feet	receive	weed	together
see	field	return	windy	use
she	fix	room	wing winter	want
some	flower	root sad	wood	wear
that the	food forest	sau school	world	work
there	friend	season	young	
they	fruit	see	young	
this	full	seed		
to	future	sell		
want	garden	send		
we	give	shadow		
what	happy	share		
who	head	shines		
with	healthy	shop		
you	hear	sing		
your	help	sister		
		-		

For additional content words and story words, please see the Leveled Reading section.

Research Base and Bibliography

Foundations of Reading

- **Adams, M.** (1990). *Beginning to read: Thinking and learning about print.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- **Baumann, J. F., Hoffman, J. V., Moon, J. S., & Duffy-Hester, A.** (1998). Where are the teachers' voices in the phonics/whole language debate? Results from a survey of U.S. elementary classroom teachers. *Reading Teacher*, 51(8), 636–650.
- Bear, D. R., Invernizzi, M., Templeton, S., & Johnston, F. (2000). Words Their Way. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- **Beck, I. L.** (2006). *Making sense of phonics: The hows and whys.* New York: Guilford Press.

Blevins, W. (1998). Phonics from A to Z. New York: Scholastic.

Briggs, D. (2007). Synthesizing casual inferences. *Educational Researcher*, 37, 15–22.

Camilli, G., Vargas, S., & Yurecko, M. (2003). Teaching children to read: The fragile link between science and federal education policy. *Education Policy Analysis Archive*, 11(15). Retrieved March 20, 2007, from http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v11n15/.

Camilli, G., & Wolfe, P. M. (2004). Meta-analysis and instructional policies in reading. *Educational Leadership*, 61(6), 26–29.

Camilli, G., Wolfe, P. M., & Smith, M. L. (2006). Meta-analysis and reading policy: Perspectives on teaching children to read. *The Elementary School Journal*, 107, 27–36.

Carnine, W., Kameenui, E., Silbert, J., Tarver, S., & Jungjohann, K. (2005). Teaching Struggling and At-Risk Readers: A Direct-Instruction Approach. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Center for the Improvement of Early Reading Achievement (1999). *Ready reference for reading excellence: A research collection*. Ann Arbor, MI: Author.

Cook, T. (1997). Lessons learned in evaluation over the last 25 years. In E. Chelimsky & W. R. Shadish (Eds.), *Evaluation for the 21st century: A handbook* (pp. 30–52). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

Cooper, H. G. (2005). Reading between the lines: Observations on the report of the National Reading Panel and its critics. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 86, 456–461.

Clay, Marie M. (1993). *An Observation Survey*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Clay, Marie M. (1991). *Becoming Literate.* Auckland, New Zealand: Heinemann Education.

Crawford, E. C., & Torgesen, J. K. (2006). *Teaching all students to read: Practices from Reading First schools with strong intervention outcomes.* Tallahassee, FL: Florida Center for Reading Research. Available at: www.fcrr.org

Cunningham, P. M., & Allington, R. L. (1994). *Classrooms That Work*. USA: HarperCollins College Publishers.

Cunningham, P., & Hall, D. O. (1994). *Making Words*. Parsippany, NJ: Good Apple, Inc.

Dawson, R., & Tilley, N. (1997). An introduction to scientific real-

ist evaluation. In E. Chelimsky & W. R. Shadish (Eds.), *Evaluation for the 21st century: A handbook* (pp. 405–418). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

- **DeFord, D. E., Lyons, C. A., & Pinnell, G. S.** (1991). *Bridges to Literacy.* New Hampshire: Heinemann Educational Books, Inc.
- Garan, E. M. (2001). Beyond the Smoke and Mirrors. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 82, 500–506.

Ehri, L. C. (1998). The development of spelling knowledge and its role in reading acquisition and reading disability. *Journal of Reading Disabilities*, 22(6), 356–365.

Fisher, D., Lapp, D., & Flood, J. (1999). How is phonics really taught? *Yearbook of the National Reading Conference*, 48, 134–145.

Fletcher, J., & Lyon, R. (1998). Reading: A research-based approach. In W. Evers (Ed.), *What¹s gone wrong in America¹s classrooms.* Palo Alto, CA: Hoover Institution Press, Stanford University.

Foorman, B. R., Francis, D. J., Fletcher, J. M., Schatschneider,
C., & Metha, P. (1998). The role of instruction in learning to read:
Preventing reading failure in at-risk children. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90, 1–15.

Hammill, D. D., & Swanson, H. L. (2006). The National Reading Panel's meta-analysis of phonics instruction: Another point of view. *Elementary School Journal*, 107, 17–26.

Honig, B., Diamond, L., & Gutlohn, L. (2008). *Teaching Reading Sourcebook, 2nd Ed.* Novato, CA: Arena Press.

International Reading Association and the National Association for the Education of Young Children. (1998). Learning to read and write: Developmentally appropriate practices for young children. Newark, DE and Washington, DC: Authors.

Kamil, M., Mosenthal, P., Pearson, P. D., & Barr, R. (Eds.) (2000). Handbook of reading research: Vol. 3. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Lafrance, A., & Gottardo, A. (2005). A longitudinal study of phonological processing skills and reading in bilingual children. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 26, 559–578.

Leafstedt, J. M., Richards, C. R., & Gerber, M. M. (2004). Effectiveness of explicit phonological-awareness instruction for at-risk English learners. *Learning Disabilities: Research & Practice*, 19, 252–261.

Learning First Alliance (1998). *Every child reading: An action plan.* Washington, DC: Author.

Liberman, I., Shankweiler, D., & Liberman A. (Eds.) (1989). *Phonology and reading disability: Solving the reading puzzle*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

Linan-Thompson, S. (2004). "Effective Reading Instruction for English Language Learners," *LD Forum, Council for Learning Disabilities* (pp. 3–8).

Linan-Thompson, S., & Hickman-Davis, P. (2002). Supplemental reading instruction for students at risk for reading disabilities: Improve reading 30 minutes at a time. *Learning Disabilities Research and Practice*, 17(4), 242–251.

Research Base and Bibliography, continued

Linan-Thompson, S., Vaughn, S., Hickman-Davis, P., & Kouzekanani, K. (2003). Effectiveness of supplemental reading instruction for second-grade English language learners with reading difficulties. *The Elementary School Journal*, 103(3), 221–238.

Manis, F. R., Lindsey, K. A., & Bailey, C. E. (2004). Development of reading in grades K–2 in Spanish-speaking English language learners. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 19, 214–224.

Moats, L., & Tolman, C. (2009). Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (LETRS): The speech sounds of English: Phonetics, phonology, and phoneme awareness. Boston: Sopris West.

Morrow, L. M., & Tracey, D. H. (1997). Strategies used for phonics instruction in early childhood classrooms. *Reading Teacher*, 50(8), 644–651.

National Reading Panel (2000). *Report of the National Reading Panel: Teaching children to read.* Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

Pressley, M., Rankin, J., & Yokoi, L. (1996). A survey of instructional practices of primary teachers nominated as effective in promoting literacy. *Elementary School Journal*, 96(4), 363–384.

Reading Initiative Center of the Sacramento County Office of Education. (1999). *Read all about it: Readings to inform the profession*. Sacramento, CA: California State Board of Education.

Russell G., & Geva, E. (April 2003). Teaching reading to early language learners. *Educational Leadership*, 44–49.

Scriven, M. (1981). Product evaluation. In N. L. Smith (Ed.), *New Techniques for evaluation* (pp. 121–166). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Shanahan, T. (n. d.). The national reading panel: Using research to create more literate students. *Reading Online*. Retrieved November 20, 2007, from <u>http://www.readingonline.org/critical/shanahan/panel.</u> <u>html</u>.

Snow, C., Burns, S., & Griffin, P. (1998). Preventing reading difficulties in young children. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Stuebing, K. K., Barth, A. E., Cirino, P. T., Francis, D. J., & Fletcher, J. M. (2008). A response to recent re-analyses of the National Reading Panel Report: Effects of systematic phonics instruction are practically significant. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 100, 123–134.

Texas Reading Initiative (2002). Promoting Vocabulary Development.

Torgesen, J., Alexander, A., Wagner, R., Rashotte, C., Voeller, K., & Conway, T. (2001). Intensive remedial instruction for children with reading disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 34, 32–58.

Torgesen, J. K. (2006). A principal's guide to intensive reading interventions for struggling readers in early elementary school. Portsmouth, NH: RMC Research Corporation, Center on Instruction. Available at: www.centeroninstruction.org.

Triplett, C. F., & Stahl, S. A. (1998). Words, words, words. Word sorts: Maximizing student input in word study. *Illinois Reading Council Journal*, 26(3), 84–87.

Tunmer, W. E., & Hoover, W. A. (1993). Phonological recording skill and beginning reading. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 5, 161–179.

Vaughn, S., Cirino, P. T., Linan-Thompson, S., Mathes, P. G., Carlson, C. D., Cardenas-Hagan, E., et al. (2006). Effectiveness of a Spanish intervention and an English intervention for English language learners at risk for reading problems. *American Educational Research Journal*, 43, 449–487.

Vaughn, S., Mathes, P., Linan-Thompson, S., & Francis, D. (2005). Teaching English language learners at risk for reading disabilities to read: Putting research into practice. *Learning Disabilities Research and Practice*, 20(1), 58–67.

Verhoeven, L. T. (2000). Components in early second language reading and spelling. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 4, 313–330.

Vickery, K. S., Reynolds, V. A., & Cochran, S. W. (1987). Multisensory teaching approach for reading, spelling, and handwriting, Orton-Gillingham based curriculum, in a public school setting. *Annals of Dyslexia*, 37, 189–200.

Yopp, H. K., & Yopp, R. H. (2000). Supporting phonemic awareness development in the classroom. *The Reading Teacher*, 54 (2), 130–143.

Language and Literacy

- Asher, J., & Price, B. (1967). The learning strategy of total physical response: Some age differences. *Child Development*, 38, 1219–1227.
- Asher, J. (1969). The total physical response approach to second language learning. The Modern Language Journal, 53, 1.

Au, K. (2006). *Multicultural issues and literacy achievement*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

August, D., & Hakuta, K. (1998). *Educating language-minority children.* Washington, DC: National Research Council.

August, D., & Shanahan, T. (Eds.). (2006). Developing literacy in second-language learners: Report of the National Literacy Panel on Language-Minority Children and Youth. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

August, D. L., & Shanahan, T. (2006). Synthesis: Instruction and professional development. In D. L. August & T. Shanahan (Eds.), *Developing literacy in a second language: Report of the National Literacy Panel.* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Bailey, A. (Ed.). (2006). *The language demands of school: Putting academic English to the test.* New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Bauman, J. F., Russell, N.S., & Jones, L. A. (1992). Effects of thinkaloud instruction on elementary students' comprehension abilities. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 24 (2), 143–172.

Berg, C. (1999). The effects of trained peer response on esl students' revision types and writing quality. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 8 (3), 215–241.

Bicais, J., & Correira, M. G. (2008). Peer-learning spaces: A staple in the English learner's tool kit for developing language and literacy. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 22(4), 363–375.

Biemiller, A. (1999). *Language and reading success*. Newton Upper Falls, MA: Brookline Books.

Blum-Kulka, S., & Snow, C. E. (2004). Introduction: The potential of peer talk. *Discourse Studies*, 6(3), 291–306.

Brice, A., & Roseberry-McKibben, C. (1999). Turning frustration into success for English language learners. *Educational Leadership*, 56(7), 53–55.

Brown, A., Campoine, J., and Day, J. (1981). Learning to learn: On training students to learn from texts. *Educational Researcher*, 10, 14–24.

Bruner, J., Goodnow, J, & Austin, G. A. (1967). *A study of thinking*. New York: Science Editions.

Callow, J. (2008, May). Show me: principles for assessing students' visual literacy. *The Reading Teacher*, 61(8), 616–626.

Celce-Murcia, M., Brinton, D., & Goodwin, J. (1996). *Teaching pronunciation: A reference for teachers of English to speakers of other languages.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Chamot, A. U., & O'Malley, J. M. (1994) *The calla handbook: implementing the cognitive academic language learning approach.* White Plains, NY: Addison Wesley Longman. **Collier, V. P.** (1995). Promoting academic success for ESL students: Understanding second language acquisition for school. Elizabeth, NJ: New Jersey Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages-Bilingual Educators.

Collier, V. P., & Thomas, W. P. (1989). How quickly can immigrants become proficient in school English? *Journal of Educational Issues of Language Minority Students*, 5, 26–38.

Crandall, J. (Ed.). 1987. ESL through content area instruction: mathematics, science, social studies. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Cummins, J. (2000). *Language, power and pedagogy: Bilingual children in the crossfire*. Buffalo, NY: Multilingual Matters.

Cunningham-Flores, M. (1998). *Improving adult esl learners' pronunciation skills*. National Center for ESL Literacy Education.

Day, J. P. (2002). We learn from each other: Collaboration and community in a bilingual classroom. In R. Allington & P. H. Johnston (Eds.), *Reading to learn: Lessons learned from exemplary fourth-grade classrooms* (pp. 99–122). New York: Guildford Press.

Diaz-Rico, L. T., & Weed, K. Z. (2002). *The crosscultural, language, and academic development handbook: A complete K–12 reference guide.* Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Dickinson, D. K., & Tabors, P. (Eds.). (2001). Beginning literacy with language. Baltimore: Brookes.

Dong, Y. R. (2006/2007). Learning to think in English. *Educational Leadership, Best of 2006–2007*, 9–13.

Dressler, C. (2006). First and second-language literacy. In D. L. August & T. Shanahan (Eds.), *Developing literacy in a second language: Report of the National Literacy Panel.* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Droop, M., & Verhoeven, L. (2003). Language proficiency and reading ability in first- and second-language learners. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 38(1), 78–103.

Dutro, S., & Moran, C. (2002), Rethinking English language instruction: An architectural approach. In G. Garcia (Ed.), *English learners reading at the highest level of English literacy.* Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Echevarria, J., Short, D., & Vogt, M. (2008). Making content comprehensible. The sheltered instruction observation protocol. Boston, MA: Pearson.

Echevarria, J., Vogt, M. A., & Short, D. J. (2004). *Making content* comprehensible for English learners: The SIOP model (2nd ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Feldman, K., & Kinsella, K. (2005). *Create an active participation classroom. The CORE Reading Expert.* Retrieved from www.corelearn. com/pdfs/Newsletters/CORE%202005%20Spring%20 Newsletter.pdf.

Fillmore, L. W. (2004). *The role of language in academic development.* In Excerpts from a presentation by Lily Wong Fillmore at the Closing the Achievement Gap for EL Students conference. Santa Rosa: CA: Sonoma County Office of Education. Retrieved from www.scoe.k12. ca.us/aiming_high/docs/AH_language.pdf.

Research Base and Bibliography, continued

Fitzgerald, J. (1995). English-as-a-second-language learners' cognitive reading processes: A review of research in the United States. *Review of Educational Research*, 65, 145–190.

Fitzgerald, J. (1993). Literacy and students who are learning English as a second language. *The Reading Teacher, 46*, 638–647.

Francis, D., Lesaux, N., & August, D. (2006). Language instruction. In D. August & T. Shanahan (Eds.), *Developing literacy in secondlanguage learners: Report of the National Literacy Panel on Language-Minority Children and Youth* (pp. 365–413). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Francis, D. J., Rivera, M., Lesaux, N., Kieffer, M., & Rivera, H. (2006). *Practical guidelines for the education of English language learners: Research-based recommendations for instruction and academic interventions.* Retrieved from www.centeroninstruction.org/files/ELL1-Interventions.pdf.

Gambrell, L. B., Morrow, L. M., & Pressley, M. (Eds.). (2007) Best Practices in Literacy Instruction. New York: Guilford.

Garcia, G., & Beltran, D. (2005) Revisioning the blueprint: Building for the academic success of English learners. In G. Garcia (Ed.). *English learners: Reaching the highest levels of English literacy*. Newark: DE: International Reading Association.

Genesee, F., Lindholm-Leary, K., Saunders, W., & Christian, D. (2006). Educating English language learners: A synthesis of research evidence. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Genesee, F. & Geva, E. (2006). Cross-linguistic relationships in working memory, phonological processes, and oral language. In D. L. August & T. Shanahan (Eds.), *Developing literacy in a second language: Report of the National Literacy Panel.* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Gersten, R., & Baker, S. (2000). What we know about effective instructional practices for English-language learners. *Exceptional Children*, 66, 454–470.

Gibbons, P. (2002). Scaffolding language, scaffolding learning: Teaching second language learners in the mainstream classroom. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Girard, V. (2005). English learners and the language arts. In V. Girard (Ed.), *Schools moving up: A WestEd initiative*. Retrieved November 8, 2006, from www.schoolsmovingup.net/cs/wested/view/e/140

Goldenberg, C. (2006). *Improving achievement for English learners: Conclusions from 2 research reviews.* Retrieved from www.colorincolorado.org/article/12918

Goldenberg, C. (2004). Successful school change: Creating settings to improve teaching and learning. New York: Teachers College Press.

Goldenberg, C. (1992–1993). Instructional conversations: promoting comprehension through discussion, The Reading Teacher, 46 (4), 316–326.

Goldenberg, C., Rueda, R., & August, D. (2006). Sociocultural influences on the literacy attainment of language-minority children and youth. In D. August & T. Shanahan (Eds.), *Developing literacy in secondlanguage learners: Report of the National Literacy Panel on Language-Minority Children and Youth* (pp. 269–318). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

High, J. (1993). Second language learning through cooperative learning. San Clemente, CA: Kagan Publishing.

Hill, J., & Flynn, K. (2006). Classroom instruction that works with English language learners. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Johnson, D., & Johnson, R. (1995). Creative controversy: Intellectual challenge in the classroom (3rd ed.). Edina, MN: Interaction Book Company.

Kagan, S. (1990). Cooperative learning for students limited in language proficiency. In M. Brubacker, R. Payne & K. Rickett (Eds.), Perspectives on small group learning. Oakville, Ontario, Canada.

Kagan, S. (1992). Cooperative learning. San Juan Capistrano, CA: Kagan Cooperative Learning.

Kim, Y., & Turner, J. D. (2006). Creating literacy communities in multicultural and multilingual classrooms: Lessons learned from two European American elementary teachers. In R.T. Jimenez & V.O. Pang (Eds.), *Race, Ethnicity, and Education Volume 2: Language and Literacy in Schools* (pp.219–236). Westport, CT: Praeger Publishing Group.

Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., and Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. *Educational Psychologist*, 41, 75–86.

Krashen, S. (1987). *Principles and practices in second language acquisition*. New York: Prentice-Hall.

Leeman, J. (2003). Recasts and second language development: Beyond negative evidence. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 25, 37–63.

Lesaux, N. K. (2006). Development of literacy of language minority learners. In D. L. August & T. Shanahan (Eds.), *Developing literacy in a second language: Report of the National Literacy Panel.* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Lesaux, N., & Siegel, L. (2003). The development of reading in children who speak English as a second language. *Developmental Psychology*, 39, 1005–1019.

Lesaux, N. K., Lipka, O., & Siegel, L.S. (2006). Investigating cognitive and linguistic abilities that influence the reading comprehension skills of children from diverse linguistic backgrounds. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 19(1), 99–131.

Lesaux, N. K. & Crosson, A.C. (2005). Addressing variability and vulnerability: Promoting the academic achievement of English learners in San Diego. In R. Hess (Ed.), *Urban reform: Lessons from San Diego*(pp. 263–281). Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Lyman, F. T. (1981). The responsive classroom discussion: The inclusion of all students. In A. Anderson (Ed.), Mainstreaming Digest (pp. 109–113). College Park: University of Maryland Press.

Marzano, R. J., Pickering, D. J., & Pollock, J. E. (2001). Classroom instruction that works: Research-based strategies for increasing student achievement. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Marzano, R. (2004). Building academic background. Alexandria, VA: MCREL, ASCD.

Mayer, R. (2003). Learning and instruction. New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc.

Medina-Jerez, W., Clark, D.B., Medina, A., & Ramirez-Marin, F. (2007). Science for ELLs: Rethinking our approach. *The Science Teacher*, *74*, 52–56.

Miller, J. F., Heilmann, J., Nockerts, A., Iglesias, A., Fabiano, L., & Francis, D. J. (2006). Oral language and reading in bilingual children. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 21, 30–43.

Morrison Institute for Public Policy. (2006). Why some schools with Latino children beat the odds and others don't. Tempe, AZ: Author.

National Research Council. (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school.* Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Novak, J. D. (1995). Concept mapping: a strategy for organizing knowledge. In S. M. Glynn & R. Duit (eds.), *Learning Science in the Schools: Research Reforming Practice*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Pearson, P. D., & Gallagher, G. (1983). The gradual release of responsibility model of instruction. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 8*, 112–123.

Powell, M. (1996). *Presenting in English*. Hove: Language Teaching Publications.

Saenz, L. M., Fuchs, L. S., & Fuchs, D. (2005). Peer-assisted learning strategies for English language learners with learning disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 71, 231–247.

Rousculp, E. E., & Maring, G. H. (1992). Portfolios for a community of learners. *Journal of Reading*, *35*, 378–385.

Samway K., & McKeon, D. (2007). *Myths and realities: best practices for English language learners.* Portsmouth NH: Heineman.

Saunders, W. M., & Goldenberg, C. (1999). Effects of instructional conversations and literature logs on limited- and fluent-English proficient students' story comprehension and thematic understanding. *Elementary School Journal*, 99(4), 277–301.

Saunders, W. M., Foorman, B. P., & Carlson, C. D. (2006). Do we need a separate block of time for oral English language development in programs for English learners? *The Elementary School Journal*, 107, 181–198.

Scarcella, R. (2003). *Academic English: A conceptual framework*. Los Angeles: Language Minority Research Institute.

Scarcella, R. (2003). Accelerating academic English: A focus on the English learner. Oakland, CA: Regents of the University of California.

Schleppegrell, M. J. (2001). Linguistic features of the language of schooling. *Linguistics and Education*, 12, 431–459.

Schleppegrell, M. J. (2004). *The language of schooling: A functional linguistics perspective.* Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Seidlitz, J. (2008) Navigating the ELPS: Using the new standards to improve instruction for English language learners. San Antonio, TX: Canter Press.

Seidlitz, J. & Perryman, B., (2008) Seven steps to building an interactive classroom: Engaging all students in academic conversation. San Antonio TX: Canter Press.

Shanahan, T. & Beck, I.L. (2006). Effective literacy teaching for English-language learners. In D. L. August & T. Shanahan (Eds.), Developing literacy in a second language: Report of the National Literacy Panel. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Snow, C. E., & Fillmore, L. W. (2000). *Clearinghouse on languages and linguistics*. Retrieved from www.cal.org/ericcll/teachers/teacher.pdf.

Tabors, P., Paez, M., & Lopez, L. (2003). Dual language abilities of bilingual four- year olds: Initial findings from the Early Childhood Study of language and literacy development of Spanish-speaking children. *NABE Journal of Research and Practice*, 1(1), 70–91.

Taba, H. (1962). *Curriculum development: Theory and practice*. New York: Harcourt Brace & World.

Thornburry, S. (2005). How to teach speaking. Essex, England: Pearson.

Turner, J. D., & Kim, Y. (2005). Learning about building literacy communities in multicultural and multilingual communities from effective elementary teachers. *Literacy Teaching and Learning*, *10*, 21–42.

Turner, J. (2007). Beyond cultural awareness: Prospective teachers' visions of culturally responsive teaching. *Action in Teacher Education*, *29*(3), 12–24.

Uchikoshi, Y. (2005). Narrative development in bilingual kindergarteners: Can Arthur help? Developmental Psychology, 41, 464–478.

Vail, N. J. and Papenfuss, J. (1993). *Daily oral language plus*. Evanston, IL: McDougal, Littell.

Vaughn, S., Cirino, P. T., Linan- Thompson, S., Mathes, P. G., Carlson, C. D., Cardenas-Hagan, E., et al. (2006). Effectiveness of a Spanish intervention and an English intervention for English language learners at risk for reading problems. *American Educational Research Journal*, 43, 449–487.

Weaver, C. (1996). *Teaching grammar in context*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton, Cook Publishers.

Wennerstrom, A. (1993). Content-based pronunciation. *TESOL Jour*nal, 1(3), 15–18.

Wong-Fillmore, L. & Snow, C. (2000). What teachers need to know about language. Washington, DC: ERIC Clearinghouse on Languages and Linguistics.

Zwiers, J. (2008). *Building Academic Language*. Newark, DE: Jossey-Bass/International Reading Association.

Vocabulary

August, D., Carlo, M., Dressler, C., & Snow, C. (2005). The critical role of vocabulary development for English language learners. *Learning Disabilities Research and Practice*, 20, 50–57.

Bauman, J. F., & E. Kame'enui (Eds.). (2004). Vocabulary Instruction: Research to Practice. New York: Guilford.

Bear, D. R., Invernizzi, M., Templeton, S., & Johnson, F. (2004). Words their way: Word study for phonics, vocabulary, and spelling instruction (2nd Ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill Prentice Hall.

Beck, I. L., McKeown, M.G., & Kucan, L. (2002). Bringing words to *life*. New York: The Guilford Press.

Beck, I. L., & McKeown, M. G. (1991). Conditions of vocabulary acquisition. In R. Barr, M. L. Kamil, P. B. Mosenthal, & P. D. Pearson (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 2, pp. 789–814). White Plains, NY: Longman.

Research Base and Bibliography, continued

Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G., & Omanson, R. C. (1987). The effects and uses of diverse vocabulary instructional techniques. In M.G. McKeown & M.E. Curtis (Eds.), *The nature of vocabulary acquisition* (pp.147–163). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Biemiller, A. (2004). Teaching vocabulary in the primary grades: Vocabulary instruction needed. In J.F. Baumann & E. Kame'enui (Eds.), *Vocabulary instruction: Bringing research to practice* (pp.209–242). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Blachowicz, C. L. Z., & Fisher, P. J. L. (2000). Vocabulary instruction. In M. L. Kamil, P. B. Mosenthal, P. D. Pearson, & R. Barr (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 3, pp. 503–523). White Plains, NY: Longman.

Blachowicz, C. L. Z., Fisher, P. J. L., Ogle D., & Watts-Taffe, S. (2006). Vocabulary: Questions from the classroom. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 41, 524–539.

Carlo, M. S., August, D., McLaughlin, B., Snow, C. E., Dressler, C., Lippman, D. N., Lively, T. J., & White, C. E. (2004). Closing the gap: Addressing the vocabulary needs of English-language learners in bilingual and mainstream classrooms. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 39, 188–215.

Carlo, M. S., August, D., & Snow, C. E. (2005). Sustained vocabulary-learning strategies for English language learners. In E. H. Hiebert & M. Kamil (Eds.), *Teaching and learning vocabulary: Bringing research to practice* (pp.137–153). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Coxhead, A. (2000). A new Academic Word List. *TESOL Quarterly*, 34(2): 213–238.

Eyraud, K., Giles, G., Koenig, S., & Stoller, F. (2000). The word wall approach: Promoting L2 vocabulary learning. *English Teaching Forum*, 38, pp. 2–11.

Graves, M. F. (2006). *The vocabulary book: Learning and instruction*. New York: Teacher's College Press.

Harrington, M. J. (1996). Basic instruction in word analysis skills to improve spelling competence. *Education*, 117, 22. Available at: www. questia.com.

Kieffer, M. J., & Lesaux, N. K. (in press). Breaking down words to build meaning: Morphology, vocabulary, and reading comprehension in the urban classroom. *The Reading Teacher*.

Lehr, F., Osborn, J., & Hiebert, E. H. (2004). *A focus on vocabulary.* Honolulu, HI: Pacific Regional Educational Laboratory. Available at: www.prel.org/programs/rel/vocabularyforum.asp.

Nagy, W. E., & Scott, J. A. (2000). Vocabulary processes. In R. Barr, M. L. Kamil, P. Mosenthal, & P. D. Pearson (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research: Vol. 3* (pp. 269–284). New York: Longman.

Nagy, W. E., & Stahl, S. A. (2006). *Teaching word meanings*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Roser, N., & Juel, C. (1982). Effects of vocabulary instruction on reading comprehension. In J.A. Niles & L.A. Harris (Eds.), *Yearbook of the National Reading Conference: Vol. 31. New inquiries in reading research and Instruction* (pp. 110–118). Rochester, NY: National Reading Conference.

Ruddell, M. R., & Shearer, B. A. (2002). "Extraordinary," "tremendous," exhilarating," "magnificient": Middle school at-risk students become avid word learners with the vocabulary-self collection strategy (VSS). *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 45(4), 352–363.

Stahl, S. A. (1999). *Vocabulary development.* Cambridge, MA: Brookline Books.

Stahl, S. A., & Nagy, W. E. (2006). *Teaching word meanings*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

White, T., Sowell, J., & Yanagihara, A. (1989). Teaching elementary students to use word-part clues. *The Reading Teacher*, 42, 302–308.

Wixson, K. K. (1986). Vocabulary instruction and children's comprehension of basal stories. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 21(3) 317–329.

Reading

Allington, R. L. (2001). What really matters for struggling readers: Designing research-based programs. New York, NY: Addison Wesley Educational Publishers Inc.

Baker, L. (2004). Reading comprehension and science inquiry: Metacognitive connections. In E.W. Saul (Ed.), *Crossing borders in literacy and science instruction: Perspectives on theory and practice*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association; Arlington, VA: National Science Teachers Association (NSTA) Press.

Beck, I. L. (2006). *Making sense of phonics: The hows and whys.* New York: Guilford Press.

Beck, I. L., & McKeown, M. G., (2001). Inviting students into the pursuit of meaning. *Educational Psychology Review*, 13(3), 225–241.

Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G., Hamilton, R. L., and Kucan, L. (1997). *Questioning the Author: An approach for enhancing student engagement with text.* Delaware: International Reading Association.

Boulware, B. J., & Crow, M. (2008, March). Using the concept attainment strategy to enhance reading comprehension. *The Reading Teacher*, 61(6), 491–495.

Cain, K. & Oakhill, J. (1998). Comprehension skill and inferencemaking ability: Issues and causality. In C. Hulme and R.M. Joshi (Eds.), *Reading and spelling: Development and disorders.* London: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Cain, K. & Oakhill, J. (2000). Inference making ability and its relation to comprehension failure in young children. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 11,489–503.

Calhoon, M. B., Al Otaiba, S., Cihak, D., King, A., & Avalos, A. C. (2006). *Effects of a peer-mediated program on reading skill acquisition for two-way bilingual first grade classrooms.* Manuscript submitted for publication.

Cirino, P. T., Vaughn, S., Linan-Thompson, S., Cardenas-Hagan, E., Fletcher, J. M., & Francis, D. J. (2007). One year follow-up outcomes of Spanish and English interventions for English language learners at-risk for reading problems. Manuscript submitted for publication.

Crawford, E. C., & Torgesen, J. K. (2006). Teaching all students to read: Practices from Reading First schools with strong intervention outcomes. Tallahassee, FL: Florida Center for Reading Research. Available at: www.fcrr.org. Cunningham, A. E., & Stanovich, K. (1998). What reading does to the mind. American Educator, 22 (1), 8–15.

Denton, C. A., Anthony, J. L., Parker, R., & Hasbrouck, J. E. (2004). Effects of two tutoring programs on the English reading development of Spanish-English bilingual students. *The Elementary School Journal*, 104, 289–305.

Dole, J., Duffy, G., Roehler, L., & Pearson, P. (1991). Moving from the old to the new: Research in reading comprehension instruction. *Review of Educational Research*, 61, 239–264.

Duke, N. K., & Pearson, P. D. (2002). Effective practices for developing reading comprehension. In A. E. Farstrup & S. J. Samuels (Eds.), *What research has to say about reading instruction* (3rd ed.) (pp. 205– 242). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Fielding, L., Kerr, N., & Rosier, P. (2007). *Annual growth for all students, catch-up growth for those who are behind.* Kennewick, WA: The New Foundation Press.

Garcia, G. E. (2000). Bilingual children's reading. In M. L. Kamil, P. B. Mosenthal, P. D. Pearson, & R. Barr (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research: Volume III* (pp. 813–834). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Gerber, M., Jimenez, T., Leafstedt, J., Villaruz, J., Richards, C., & English, J. (2004). English reading effects of small-group intensive instruction in Spanish for K–1 English learners. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 19(4), 239–251.

Head, M., & Readence, J. (1986). Anticipation guides: Meaning through prediction. In E. Dishner, T. Bean, J. Readence, & D. Moore (Eds.), *Reading in the Content Areas*, Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt.

Kosanovich, M., Ladinsky, K., Nelson, L., & Torgesen, J. (2006). Differentiated reading instruction: Small group lesson structures for all students. Tallahassee, FL: Florida Center for Reading Research. Available at: www.fcrr.org.

Lehr, F. & Osborne, J. (2006). *Focus on comprehension*. Honolulu, HI: Pacific Regional Educational Laboratory. Available at: www.prel.org/programs/rel/comprehensionforum.asp.

Lesaux, N. K., & Kieffer, M. J. (in press). Sources of reading comprehension difficulties for language minority learners and their classmates in early adolescence. *American Educational Research Journal*.

Lesaux, N. K., & Siegel, L. S. (2003). The development of reading in children who speak English as a second language. *Developmental Psychology*, 39(6), 1005–1019.

Lesaux, N. K., Lipka, O., & Siegel, L. S. (2006). Investigating cognitive and linguistic abilities that influence the reading comprehension skills of children from diverse linguistic backgrounds. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 19, 99–131.

Linan-Thompson, S., & Hickman-Davis, P. (2002). Supplemental reading instruction for students at risk for reading disabilities: Improve reading 30 minutes at a time. *Learning Disabilities Research and Practice*, 17(4), 242–251.

Linan-Thompson, S., Vaughn, S., Hickman-Davis, P., & Kouzekanani, K. (2003). Effectiveness of supplemental reading instruction for second-grade English language learners with reading difficulties. *The Elementary School Journal*, 103(3), 221–238. McMaster, K. L., Kung, H., Han, I., & Cao, M. (in press). Peer-assisted learning strategies: A "tier 1" approach to promoting responsiveness to beginning reading instruction for English learners. *Exceptional Children*.

McKeown, M. G., Beck, I. L., & Worthy, M. J. (1993). Grappling with text ideas: Questioning the author. *Reading Teacher*, 46, 560–66.

National Reading Panel. (2000). *Report of the National Reading Panel: Teaching children to read.* Bethesda, MD: National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

Ogle, D. S. (1986). K-W-L group instructional strategy. In A. S. Palincsar, D. S. Ogle, B. F. Jones, & E. G. Carr (Eds.), *Teaching reading as thinking*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Palincsar, A. S., & Brown, A. L. (1985). Reciprocal teaching: Activities to promote reading with your mind. In T. L. Harris & E. J. Cooper (Eds.), *Reading thinking and concept development: Strategies for the class-room*. New York: The College Board.

Pressley, M. (2000). What should comprehension instruction be the instruction of? In M. Kamil, P. B. Mosenthal, P. D. Pearson, & R. Barr (Eds.), *Handbook of Reading Research: Vol. 3* (pp. 545–561). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Pressley, M., & Afflerbach, P. (1995). *Verbal protocols of reading: The nature of constructively responsive reading.* Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Proctor, C. P., Carlo, M., August, D., & Snow, C. (2005). Native Spanish-speaking children reading in English: Toward a model of comprehension. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 97, 246–256.

Quiroga, T., Lemos-Britton, Z., Mostafapour, E., Abbott, R. D., & Berninger, V. W. (2002). Phonological awareness and beginning reading in Spanish-speaking ESL first graders: Research into practice. *Journal of School Psychology*, 40, 85–111.

Riedel, B. W. (2007). The relation between DIBELS, reading comprehension, and vocabulary in urban, first grade students. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 42, 460–466.

Saunders, W. M., & Goldenberg, C. (1999). Effects of instructional conversations and literature logs on limited- and fluent- English-proficient students' story comprehension and thematic understanding. *Elementary School Journal*, 99, 277–301.

Schlick Noe, K., & Johnson, N. (1999). *Getting started with literature circles*. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc.

Slavin, R., & Cheung, A. (2005). A synthesis of research on language of reading instruction for English language learners. *Review of Educa-tional Research*, 75, 247–284.

Snow, C. E., Burns, M. S., & Griffin, P. (Eds.). (1998). *Preventing reading difficulties in young children*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Swanson, H. L., Sáez, L., & Gerber, M. (2004). Do phonological and executive processes in English learners at risk for reading disabilities in grade 1 predict performance in grade 2? *Learning Disabilities Research* & *Practice*, 19, 225–238.

Taylor, W. (1953). Cloze procedure a new tool for measuring readability. *Journalism Quarterly*, 30, 415–433.

Research Base and Bibliography, continued

Torgesen, J. K. (2006). A principal's guide to intensive reading interventions for struggling readers in early elementary school. Portsmouth, NH: RMC Research Corporation, Center on Instruction. Available at: www.centeroninstruction.org.

Tumner, J., & Chapman, J. (1995). Context use in early reading development: Premature exclusion of a source or individual differences? *Issues in Education*,1, 97–100.

Vaughn, S., Cirino, P. T., Linan-Thompson, S., Mathes, P. G., Carlson, C. D., Cardenas-Hagan, E., et al. (2006). Effectiveness of a Spanish intervention and an English intervention for English language learners at risk for reading problems. *American Educational Research Journal*, 43, 449–487.

Vaughn, S., Mathes, P., Linan-Thompson, S., Cirino, P., Carlson, C., Pollard-Durodola, S., et al. (2006). Effectiveness of an English intervention for first-grade English language learners at risk for reading problems. *Elementary School Journal*, 107, 153–180.

Vaughn, S., Linan-Thompson, S., & Hickman-Davis, P. (2003). Response to treatment as a means for identifying students with reading/ learning disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 69, 391–410.

Vaughn, S., Mathes, P., Linan-Thompson, S., & Francis, D. (2005). Teaching English language learners at risk for reading disabilities to read: Putting research into practice. *Learning Disabilities Research and Practice*, 20(1), 58–67.

Verhoeven, L. (1990). Acquisition of reading in a second language. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 25, 90–114.

Verhoeven, L. T. (2000). Components in early second language reading and spelling. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 4, 313–330.

Willhelm, J. (2002). *Action strategies for deepening comprehension*. New York: Scholastic.

Writing

Britton, J. (1983). Writing and the story of the world. In B. Kroll & E. Wells (Eds.), *Explorations in the development of writing theory, research, and practice* (p. 3–30). New York: Wiley.

Calderón, M., Hertz-Lazarowitz, R., & Slavin, R. (1998). Effects of bilingual cooperative integrated reading and composition on students transitioning from Spanish to English reading. *Elementary School Journal*, 99, 153–165.

Celce-Murcia, M. (2002). On the use of selected grammatical features in academic writing. In M. C. Colombi & M. J. Schleppegrell (Eds.), *Developing advanced literacy in first and second languages* (pp. 143–158). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Cunningham, P., & Allington, R. (2003). *Classrooms that work.* New York: Pearson Education, Inc.

Dyson, A. H. (1989). *Multiple worlds of child writers: Friends learning to write*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Elbow, P. (1998). Writing with power. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2008). Releasing responsibility. *Educational Leadership*, 66(3), 32–37.

Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2007). Scaffolded writing instruction: Teaching with a gradual-release framework. New York: Scholastic.

Fisher, D., Frey, N., & Rothenberg, C., (2008). Content area conversations: How to plan discussion-based lessons for diverse language learners. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Fearn, L., & Farnan, N. (2001). *Interactions: Teaching writing and the language arts.* Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Kirby, D., Kirby, D. L., & Liner, T. (2004). Inside out: Strategies for teaching writing. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

McCarrier, A., Pinnell, G. S., & Fountas, I. C. (2000). *Interactive writing: How language and literacy come together, K–2.* Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Samway, K. (2006). When English language learners write: connecting research to practice. Portsmouth: Heineman.

Schleppegrell, M. J., & Go, A. L. (2007). Analyzing the writing of English learners: A functional approach. *Language Arts*, 84(6), 529–538.

Strong, W. (2001). Coaching writing: *The power of guided practice*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann-Boynton/Cook.

Fluency

Breznitz, Z. (2006). *Fluency in reading*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Crosson, A. C., & Lesaux, N. K. (in press). Revisiting assumptions about the relationship of fluent reading to comprehension: Spanishspeakers' text-reading fluency in English. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal.*

Dowhower, S. L. (1987). Effects of repeated reading on second grade transitional readers' fluency and comprehension. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 22(4), 389–406.

Geva, E., & Yaghoub-Zadeh, Z. (2006). Reading efficiency in native English-speaking and English-as-a-second-language children: The role of oral proficiency and underlying cognitive-linguistic processes. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 10, 31–57.

Kuhn, M. R. (2005). Helping students become accurate, expressive readers: Fluency instruction for small groups. *The Reading Teacher*, 58, 338–344.

Kung, S. H. (2009). Predicting the success on a state standards test for culturally and linguistically diverse students using curriculum-based oral reading measures. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Minnesota.

LaBerge, D., & Samuels, S. J. (1974). Toward a theory of automatic information processing in reading. *Cognitive Psychology*, 6, 293–323.

Maurice, K. (1983). The fluency workshop. TESOL Newsletter, 17, 4.

Osborn, J., Lehr, F., & Hiebert, E. H. (2003). *A Focus on Fluency.* Honolulu, HI: Pacific Resources for Education and Learning. Available at www.prel.org/programs/rel/rel.asp.

Pikulski, J., & Chard, D. (2005). Fluency: the bridge between decoding and reading comprehension. *The Reading Teacher*, 58, 510–521.

Samuels, S. J., & Farstrup, A. E. (2006). *What research has to say about fluency instruction*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

- Schilling, S. G., Carlisle, J. F., Scott, S. E., & Zeng, J. (2007). Are fluency measures accurate predictors of reading achievement? *The Elementary School Journal*, 107, 429–448.
- Vaughn, S., Chard, D. J., Bryant, D. P., Coleman, M., Tyler, B. J., Linan-Thompson, S., & Kouzekanani, K. (2000). Fluency and comprehension interventions for third-grade students. *Remedial and Special Education*, 21(6), 325–335.

Technology

- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (in press). *Literacy 2.0: Language, literacy and learning in a new century* [working title]. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree.
- Gee, J. P. (2007). What video games have to teach us about learning and *literacy*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- **International Reading Association.** (May 2009). New literacies and 21st century technologies: A position statement of the International Reading Association. Newark, DE: Author. Used with permission.
- Leu, D. J., O'Byrne, W. I., Zawilinski, L., McVerry, J. G., & Everett-Cacopardo, H. (2009). Expanding the new literacies conversation. *Educational Researcher*, 38(4), 264–269.
- Mayer, R. E. (2001). Multimedia learning. New York: Cambridge University Press. Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2009). Framework for 21st century learning. Retrieved from www.21stcenturyskills.org/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=254&Itemid=120.
- Ybarra, R. & Green, T. (2003). Using technology to teach ESL/EFL students to develop language skills. *The Internet TESL Journal*, 9, n.p.

Assessment

Afflerbach, P. (2007). Understanding and using reading assessment *K*–12. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

- **Carpenter, S. K., Pashler, H., Cepeda, N. J., and Alvarez, D.** (2007). Applying the principles of testing and spacing to classroom learning. In D. S. McNamara and J. G. Trafton (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Cognitive Science Society* (p. 19). Nashville, TN: Cognitive Science Society.
- **Carpenter, S. K., Pashler, H., Wixted, J. T., and Vul, E.** (in press). The effects of tests on learning and forgetting. *Memory & Cognition.*
- **Dempster, F. N., & Perkins, P. G.** (1993). Revitalizing classroom assessment: Using tests to promote learning. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 20, 197–203.
- **Dominguez de Ramirez, R., & Shapiro, E. S.** (2006). Curriculumbased measurement and the evaluation of reading skills of Spanishspeaking English language learners in bilingual education classrooms. *School Psychology Review*, 35, 356–369.
- Edwards, P., Turner, J. D., & Mokhtari, K. (2008). Balancing the assessment of and the assessment for learning in support of student literacy achievement. *Reading Teacher*, 61, 682–684.
- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2007). *Checking for understanding: Formative assessment techniques for your classroom.* Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

- **Frey, N., & Heibert, E.** (2002). Teacher-based assessment of literacy learning. In J. Flood, D. Lapp, J. R. Squire, & J. M. Jensen (Eds.). *Handbook of Research on the Teaching of English Language Arts* (2nd ed.), pp.608–618. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Gersten, R., Dimino, J., & Jayanthi, M. (in press). Development of a classroom observational system. In B. Taylor & J. Ysseldyke (Eds.), *Reading instruction for English language learners: The Bond symposium*. New York: Teachers College.
- **Goodman, Y.** (2002). Informal methods of evaluation. In J. Flood, D. Lapp, J. R. Squire, & J. M. Jensen (Eds.). *Handbook of Research on the Teaching of English Language Arts* (2nd ed.), pp. 600–607. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Johnston, P. (2005). Literacy assessment and the future. *The Reading Teacher*, 58(7), 684–686.
- **Limbos, M.** (2006). Early identification of second language students at risk for reading disability. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 66 (10-A), 3566A.
- **Schumm, J. S. & Arguelles, M. E.** (2006). No two learners are alike: The importance of assessment and differentiated instruction. In J. S. Schumm (Ed.), *Reading assessment and instruction for all learners*. New York: Guilford Press.
- **Torgesen, J. K.** (2006). *A comprehensive K–3 reading assessment plan: Guidance for school leaders.* Portsmouth, NH: RMC Research Corporation, Center on Instruction. Available at: www.centeroninstruction.org.
- Townsend, D., Lee, E., & Chiappe, P. (2006). English or Spanish? The efficacy of assessing Latinola children in Spanish for risk of reading disabilities. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for the Scientific Study of Reading, Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- Wiley, H. I., & Deno, S. L. (2005). Oral reading and maze measures as predictors of success for English learners on a state standards assessment. *Remedial and Special Education*, 26, 207–214.

Using the Language Transfer Supports

Introduction

English learners arrive at the doors of our schools from many different countries and every walk of life. With them, they bring a wealth of linguistic and cultural diversity that transforms the simplest classroom into a unique cultural experience.

Regardless of previous educational experiences, second-language learners have a developed sense of how language operates. Through home language experiences, they understand how sounds combine to form words and how words combine to convey meaning, sense, and ideas. Students' understanding of their first language serves sometimes to accelerate and other times to detour their acquisition of similar skills in English.

When you learn to identify and capitalize on students' existing language skills, you use positive transfer to accelerate progress. For example, you can use explicit instruction to develop pronunciation skills by explaining how sounds are the same or approximate. Once you know which grammatical structures transfer negatively to academic English conventions, you can adjust instruction to provide maximum reinforcement for skills lessons on these structures.

The charts on the following pages address language transfer items between English and seven of the most common languages spoken by English learners in U.S. schools.

- The Language Structure Transfer Chart explains grammar differences between English and seven other languages plus African American Vernacular English to identify points of possible negative transfer. Compare students' errors to the transfer errors on the chart. This will help you understand why the error is occurring so that you can design appropriate instruction. You may also wish to encourage students to identify and share ways in which English parallels or differs from their own home languages.
- The **Phonics Transfer Chart** compares the sounds of English to those of the same seven languages. As you work with students to teach phonics or develop pronunciation skills, use the chart to identify which sounds students may already know and which are new. In your instruction, devote particular practice to sounds that do not exist, or exist with different symbols, in students' primary languages.

More than 150 spoken languages, as well as American Sign Language, are used by English learners in our schools. We hope this section, though it addresses just seven languages, is a good start on the transfer issues involved in the education of our English learners. For languages other than those shown in the charts, including American Sign Language, make use of the resources in your district (including community volunteers, district language translators, and inclass primary language support) to identify the points of positive and negative transfer for your students.

Transfer Charts

The following charts are designed to help teachers locate potential transfer issues in a simple, practical way. National Geographic Learning recognizes that language structures and pronunciation can vary based upon multiple factors including region, dialect, and even sociological issues. For this reason, we have enlisted the aid of the following language consultants, educators, linguists, and phonologists to compile and review information about each of the seven languages. We gratefully acknowledge their assistance and appreciate the contributions they made to the compilation of the Transfer Charts. We especially acknowledge the assistance of OMA Graphics, Inc., in Fremont, California, in locating language consultants throughout the United States. In a few cases, the language consultants were unable to reach a consensus on specific items. The resulting charts show our best attempt to reconcile the information in a clear and consistent fashion. We welcome additional input and suggestions that will assist us in updating this information and in adding information for other languages in future publications.

Cantonese Language Consultants

Dr. John Whelpton English Instructor Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School Shatin, Hong Kong, PRC

Jihua Zhou

Cantonese Professor Defense Language Institute Monterey, California

Haitian-Creole Language Consultant

Dr. Jean-Robert Cadely *Associate Professor* Florida International University Miami, Florida

Hmong Language Consultants

Max Leyang ELL Community Specialist St. Paul Public School District St. Paul, Minnesota

Brian McKibben *Author, English-White Hmong Dictionary, 1992* Bridgeport, West Virginia

Korean Language Consultants

Koong-Ja Lauridsen Assistant Principal and Education Technology Consultant Alexandria Avenue Elementary School Los Angeles, California

Jewel H. Lee *Assistant Professor* Defense Language Institute Monterey, California

Saekyun Harry Lee Assistant Professor Defense Language Institute Monterey, California

Spanish Language Consultant

Guadalupe López Senior Editor Carmel, Caifornia

Tagalog Language Consultant

Thomas Bacon *Associate Professor* Defense Language Institute Monterey, California

Vietnamese Language Consultants

La Ba Nhon

Associate Professor Defense Language Institute Foreign Language Center Monterey, California

Mai Tran

Translator for Asian Pacific and Other Languages Offices Los Angeles Unified School District Los Angeles, California

Language Structure Transfer Chart

ENGLISH		LANGUAGES	SAMPLE TRANSFER
STRUCTURE Articles	TRANSFER ISSUE There are no indefinite articles.	Chinese Hmong Korean Vietnamese	ERRORS IN ENGLISH He goes to one class on Wednesdays. = He goes to a class on Wednesdays. I bought one cake from bakery. = I bought a cake from a bakery.
	The definite article can be omitted.	Hmong	Do you have book? = Do you have the book? Do you have a book?
	The definite article is used before a title.	Spanish Tagalog	I saw the Mrs. Cruz. = I saw Mrs. Cruz.
	The indefinite article is not used before a profession.	Chinese Haitian Creole (article is optional if the predicate contains the verb be) Korean Spanish Tagalog Vietnamese	He is teacher. = He is a teacher. My sister is famous doctor. = My sister is a famous doctor.
	Singular and plural definite articles follow the noun.	Haitian Creole Examples: zanmi an = friend (the) zanmi yo = friends (the)	Note: Students may place definite articles incorrectly.
	A definite article is used in place of a possessive adjective.	Spanish (definite article used for parts of the body and articles of clothing)	Ana broke the leg. = Ana broke her leg.
Nouns	There is no plural form for nouns (plurals can be expressed through an adjective quantifier).	Chinese Hmong Korean (plurals are usually used for "people" nouns, such as <i>my friends</i>) Vietnamese	I have many good idea. = I have many good ideas. The paper has several problem. = The paper has several problems.
	There is no plural form after a number.	Chinese Haitian Creole (plural form is often omitted) Hmong Korean Tagalog Vietnamese	There are three new student. = There are three new students. Vacation is four week. = Vacation is four weeks.
	A plural is formed by placing a plural marker after the noun.	Haitian Creole (indefinite plurals are unmarked) Korean	Note: Students may add an additional word rather than adding -s to the noun.
	In English, -es is added only after the consonants s, x, ch, sh, and z. Also, y is changed to i before adding -es. In other languages, -es is added to nouns that end in y or any consonant.	Spanish	walles = walls rayes = rays
	The -s is not always added to a noun to form the plural, especially when there is a cardinal number.	African American Vernacular English	Two girl just left. = Two girls just left.
	English contains noncount nouns that do not have a plural form (for example: fishing, money, bread, honesty, water, snow).	Chinese Haitian Creole Hmong Korean Vietnamese	I like dancings. = I like dancing. She wears jewelrys. = She wears jewelry.
	Proper names can be listed last name first. Chinese example: Chan Fu Kwan is written last name first without a comma. Vietnamese example: Tran My Bao is written last, middle, first.	Chinese (always last name first) Hmong (in Asia) Korean Vietnamese	Note: Teachers and students may confuse first and last names.

ENGLISH STRUCTURE	LANGUAGE TRANSFER ISSUE	LANGUAGES	SAMPLE TRANSFER ERRORS IN ENGLISH
Nouns, continued	A first name is preferred when repeating a person's name.	Hmong Vietnamese	Mr. Kou Xiong is a teacher. Mr. Kou (first name) speaks many languages.
Possessives	Possessive nouns are formed with an <i>of</i> phrase.	Haitian Creole (Southern Haiti only) Spanish Tagalog Vietnamese	This is the chair of Jamie. = This is Jamie's chair.
	Possession is shown by the proximity of the two nouns. The 's is not added if another noun follows.	African American Vernacular English	This is Tom book. = This is Tom's book.
	A possessive adjective is formed by placing a separate word or character before the pronoun.	Vietnamese	This car is (of) him. = This car is his.
	A possessive adjective is placed after the noun.	Haitian Creole	That book is (for) me. = That is my book.
	A possessive adjective can be placed before or after a noun.	Tagalog	This the friend me, Marissa. = This is my friend, Marissa.
	A possessive adjective is formed by placing a separate word, character, or article between the pronoun and the noun.	Chinese (suffix may be omitted in some cases) Hmong	he (possessive character) book = his book
	Possessive adjectives are omitted when the association is clear.	Korean Vietnamese	He raised hand. = He raised his hand.
	There is no distinction between personal pronouns and possessive adjectives.	Vietnamese	It is book I. = It is my book.
Pronouns	There is no distinction between subject and object pronouns.	Chinese Haitian Creole Hmong Vietnamese	I gave the forms to she. = I gave the forms to her. Him helped I. = He helped me.
	There is no gender difference for third-person singular pronouns.	Chinese (spoken language only) Haitian Creole Hmong (uses the pronoun it) Tagalog (has one pronoun for <i>he, she</i> ; another for <i>him,</i> <i>her</i> ; no pronoun for <i>it</i>) Vietnamese (uses familiar form of third person singular)	Talk to the girl and give it advice. = Talk to the girl and give her advice. Is over there. = It is over there.
	There is no distinction between simple, compound, subject, object, and reflexive pronouns.	Hmong	The book is I. = The book is mine. She is I sister. = She is my sister. I go I. = I go by myself.
	There are no relative pronouns.	Korean (modifying clause can function as a relative clause) Vietnamese	Look at the backpack is on the floor. = Look at the backpack which is on the floor.
	A subject pronoun often immediately follows a subject noun in a sentence.	African American Vernacular English	The boy he 12 years old. = The boy is 12 years old.
	It is possible to omit the pronoun <i>it</i> as a subject.	Chinese Hmong Korean Tagalog (never used; no word for <i>it</i>) Vietnamese	What time? = What time is it? Three o'clock already. = It is three o'clock already. Is raining. = It is raining.
	A subject pronoun can be omitted when the subject is understood.	Chinese Korean (can omit the subject pronoun <i>you</i>) Spanish	Is crowded. = It is crowded. Am hungry. = I am hungry.

Language Structure Transfer Chart, continued

ENGLISH STRUCTURE	LANGUAGE TRANSFER ISSUE	LANGUAGES	SAMPLE TRANSFER ERRORS IN ENGLISH
Verbs	The verb <i>be</i> can be omitted with adjectives and prepositional phrases.	Chinese Haitian Creole Hmong Korean Vietnamese	We always cheerful. = We are always cheerful. I hungry. = I am hungry. You at home. = You are at home.
	The verb <i>be</i> is not used for adjectives or places.	Hmong Vietnamese	She beautiful. = She is beautiful. The book on the table. = The book is on the table.
	The verb be does not exist.	Tagalog	My classmate tall. = My classmate is tall.
	The unstressed present tense forms <i>is</i> and <i>are</i> are deleted, often before an adjective or prepositional phrase.	African American Vernacular English	He happy. = He is happy.
	The verb forms <i>is</i> and <i>are</i> are deleted when they indicate a temporary condition in the present.	African American Vernacular English	She tired. = She is tired now.
	The contraction <i>it</i> 's or <i>i</i> 's is used instead of <i>there is</i> or <i>there are</i> .	African American Vernacular English	l's a lot of people. = There are a lot of people.
	Be is used to show a habitual, regular, or repeated condition.	African American Vernacular English	She be tired. = She is always tired.
	A verb is not inflected for person and number.	Chinese Haitian Creole Hmong Korean (verbs are inflected to reflect age or status) Tagalog Vietnamese	That house have a big door. = That house has a big door. Everyone like you. = Everyone likes you.
	Verbs in the present tense keep the same form for all subjects. Third-person singular, present-tense verbs are not different.	African American Vernacular English	He sing. = He sings.
	Forms of <i>be</i> in the present progressive are deleted.	African American Vernacular English	He singin'. = He is singing.
	Several verbs can be used together with no words or punctuation to separate them.	Hmong Vietnamese	I cook eat at home. = I cook and eat at home.
	There is no gerund form (- <i>ing</i>) and/or no distinction between gerunds and infinitives.	Chinese Haitian Creole Hmong Korean Spanish Vietnamese	To read is my favorite activity. = Reading is my favorite activity.
	The verb be can be used in place of have.	Korean	l am car. = I have one car.
	Infinitives are not used to indicate purpose.	Haitian Creole	I want learn English. = I want to learn English. I go to the library for study. = I go to the library to study.
	A that clause is used rather than an infinitive.	Hmong Spanish	I want that they try harder. = I want them to try harder.
	Have is used in place of there is, there are, or there was, there were.	Hmong Vietnamese	In the library have many books. = In the library, there are many books.
	The verb <i>have</i> is used to express states of being (such as age or hunger).	Spanish	She has ten years. = She is ten years old. I have hunger. = I am hungry.
	Double and sometimes triple modals (<i>can, could, might,</i> etc.) are used.	African American Vernacular English	I might could do that. = I might be able to do that.

ENGLISH	LANGUAGE		SAMPLE TRANSFER
STRUCTURE	TRANSFER ISSUE	LANGUAGES	ERRORS IN ENGLISH
Verbs, continued	There are no helping verbs.	Tagalog	l go. = I am going.
	Two-word verbs, or phrasal verbs, exist in very few languages. (In addition to English, they are found in a few other languages, such as Dutch, German, and Scandinavian languages.)		Note: Most ESL students find two-word verbs difficult, but it is necessary to learn them in order to understand informal, conversational English.
Verb Tense	There are no tense inflections. Tense is usually indicated through context or by adding an expression of time.	Chinese Hmong (infinitive form of the verb is used with an expression of time) Vietnamese	When I am small, I ask many questions. = When I was small, I asked many questions. She teach math next semester. = She will teach math next semester.
	Verbs are not always changed to the past tense. Past time can be expressed by a word other than a verb.	African American Vernacular English	She go there last year. = She went there last year.
	Verb tense does not change within the same sentence.	Haitian Creole Hmong	When we finish, we leave. = When we finish, we will leave.
	Present-perfect tense can be used in place of past tense.	Haitian Creole	I have seen Lucas yesterday. = I saw Lucas yesterday.
	There is no perfect tense.	Tagalog	l ate already. = I have eaten already.
	Present tense can be used in place of future tense. The present tense is used in place of the present	Haitian Creole Hmong Spanish	I finish it tomorrow. = I will finish it tomorrow. I live here a long time.
	perfect.	African American Vernegular English	= I have lived here a long time.
	Been is used to describe an action that took place earlier in time and that is still true at the moment of speaking.	African American Vernacular English	He been singin'. = He has been singing for a long time and is still singing.
Adverbs	Adverbs are not used. Two adjectives or two verbs can be used to describe an adjective or verb.	Hmong	I run fast fast. = I run really fast. I run run to school. = I run quickly to school.
	Adverbs are not formed by adding a suffix to an adjective.	Tagalog	Note: Students may overuse -ly. I run fastly. = I run fast.
Adjectives	Adjectives follow the nouns they modify.	Hmong Spanish (the position of the adjective can also indicate meaning; limiting adjectives precede the noun, descriptive adjectives follow the noun) Tagalog (adjective may follow or precede noun) Vietnamese	They have a house big. = They have a big house. We live in a village Laotian. = We live in a Laotian village.
	Adjectives can reflect number and gender.	Spanish Tagalog (number only)	I have kinds parents. = I have kind parents.
	Some nouns and adjectives share the same form.	Chinese	Note: Students may have difficulty choosing between noun and adjective forms. She wants to be independence.
			= She wants to be independent.
	Comparative adjectives do not change form. They are expressed with the equivalent of <i>more</i> and <i>most</i> .	Hmong (add adverbs after the adjective) Korean	She is fast more. = She is faster. She is more old than you. = She is older than you.
Prepositions	Meanings of prepositions do not always correspond to those in English.	Spanish Tagalog	I like the songs in the CD. = I like the songs on the CD. We go on lunchtime. = We go at lunchtime.

Language Structure Transfer Chart, continued

ENGLISH STRUCTURE	LANGUAGE TRANSFER ISSUE	LANGUAGES	SAMPLE TRANSFER ERRORS IN ENGLISH
Word Order (Statements)	The verb precedes the subject.	Spanish Tagalog (verb may precede or follow subject)	Arrived the teacher late. = The teacher arrived late.
	Verbs are placed last in a sentence. The usual word order is subject-object-verb.	Korean	The teacher the assignment gives. = The teacher gives the assignment.
	Subject and verb order is rarely changed.	Chinese Haitian Creole Korean Tagalog	She is content and so I am. = She is content and so am I.
	A direct object precedes an indirect object when the indirect object is a pronoun.	Chinese (Cantonese only)	l gave an apple him. = I gave him an apple.
	Adverbs and adverbial phrases can precede verbs.	Chinese Korean Tagalog	I hard study. = I study hard. He by train goes to school. = He goes to school by train.
Questions	Yes/No questions can be formed by adding an element to the end of a declarative statement.	Chinese Hmong Korean Vietnamese (statement followed by phrase "or not")	The book is interesting, yes? = Is the book interesting? You like that color, no? = Do you like that color?
	Yes/No questions can be formed by adding a marker word to a sentence.	Tagalog (position of marker word, <i>ba</i> , varies depending on verb)	Likes (ba) he soup? = Does he like soup? Far (ba)? = Is it far?
	Yes/No questions can be formed by adding a verb followed by its negative within a statement.	Chinese Vietnamese	You want not want watch movie? = Do you want to watch a movie or not?
	Yes/No questions can be formed by adding the question word between the pronoun and the verb.	Hmong	You (question word) like the school? = Do you like the school?
	Yes/No questions start with a verb followed by a subject; there is no auxiliary verb such as <i>do</i> or <i>have</i> .	Tagalog	Cooks he (ba)? = Does he cook? Went she (ba)? = Has she gone?
	Question words are placed according to the position of the answer. For example, if the answer functions as an object, the question words are placed in the regular object position.	Chinese Korean	He told you what? = What did he tell you? Tell me he is where? = Tell me where he is.
	The answers yes and <i>no</i> vary depending upon the verb used in the question.	Hmong	 Note: Students may substitute a verb for a yesor-no answer. Do you speak English? Speak. = Do you speak English? Yes. Do you speak English? No speak. = Do you speak English? No.
	Rising intonation at the end of a direct question is used instead of inverting the subject and the verb.	African American Vernacular English	This is your book? = Is this your book?
Commands	Commands can be formed by adding an adverb after verbs to be emphasized.	Hmong (add the adverb <i>now</i>) Vietnamese (add the adverb <i>right now</i>)	Do now. = Do it!
	Commands can be formed by adding a time indicator after the verbs to be emphasized.	Hmong	Fix the car at 3:00. = Fix the car.
	Commands can be formed by adding the verb go for emphasis at the end of the sentence.	Vietnamese	Buy my groceries, go! = Buy my groceries.
	Commands can be formed by changing the verb ending.	Korean	Bring(ing) it over here. = Bring it over here.
	The subject pronoun <i>you</i> is not omitted in a command.	Tagalog	You go home now. = Go home now.

ENGLISH STRUCTURE	LANGUAGE TRANSFER ISSUE	LANGUAGES	SAMPLE TRANSFER ERRORS IN ENGLISH	
Negatives and Negative Sentences	Double negatives are routinely used.	Haitian Creole Spanish	They don't like nothing. = They don't like anything.	
	Multiple negatives are often used.	African American Vernacular English	I don't want nothing from nobody. = I don't want anything from anybody.	
	The negative marker goes before the verb or verb phrase.	Korean (especially in informal situations) Spanish (when using perfect tense) Tagalog	Joey not has finished the homework. = Joey has not finished the homework. Not she bought fruit. = She did not buy fruit.	
	Ain't is used to make a sentence negative. It can mean am not, aren't, don't, hasn't, or haven't.	African American Vernacular English	I ain't doing this. = I am not doing this.	
	Inversion of a double or multiple negative is sometimes used. The verb comes before the subject.	African American Vernacular English	Can't nobody do better. = Nobody can do better.	
Subjects and Predicates	Sentences do not always include a subject.	Spanish Tagalog (only when the subject is it, as there is no word for <i>it</i> in Tagalog)	Is fun to cook? = Is it fun to cook? Raining. = It is raining. Is your mother? Yes, is. = Is she your mother. Yes, she is.	
	Subject pronouns can immediately follow a noun, resulting in a double subject.	African American Vernacular English	Mary she mad. = Mary is mad.	
	Sometimes a singular verb is used with a plural subject, or a plural verb is used with a singular subject.	African American Vernacular English	We was there. = We were there. She have a car = She has a car.	

Phonics Transfer Chart

	ENGLISH		SP/	ANISH	CAN	TONESE	VIETN	AMESE
Phoneme	Grapheme	Key Word	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbo Match?
Consonants								
/b/	b	book	yes	yes	approx.	no	approx.	yes
	c	carrot	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/k/	k	key	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
	ck	check	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no
/d/	d	desk	approx.	yes	approx.	no	approx.	yes
/f/	f	fish	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no
/g/	g	girl	yes	yes	approx.	no	yes	yes
/h/	h	hand	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
	j	jacket	no	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
/j/	g	cage	no	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
	dge	badge	no	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
/I/	I	lamp	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/m/	m	map	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/n/	n	newspaper	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/p/	р	pizza	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/kw/	qu	quarter	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	yes
/ r /	r	red	approx.	approx.	no	no	no	yes
	s	seed	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/s/	С	city	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/t/	t	ten	yes	yes	yes	no	approx.	yes
/v/	v	van	yes	yes	no	no	yes	yes
/w/	w	window	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no
/ks/	x	six	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes
/y/	у	yellow	yes	yes	yes	no	no	yes
/z/	z	zero	no	no	no	no	yes	no
Digraphs							-	
	ch	chin	yes	yes	approx.	no	no	yes
/ch/	tch	match	yes	no	approx.	no	no	no
/sh/	sh	shell	no	no	no	no	yes	no
/hw/	wh	whisk	no	no	no	no	no	no
/th/	th	bath	approx.	no	no	no	approx.	yes
/ <u>th</u> /	th	this	approx.	no	no	no	no	yes
/ng/	ng	ring	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
Short Vowels	-			· · ·	· ·			1
/a/	а	map	approx.	no	no	no	approx.	yes
/e/	e	ten	yes	yes	approx.	no	approx.	yes
/i/	i	lid	approx.	no	approx.	no	no	yes
/0/	0	dot	approx.	no	approx.	no	approx.	yes
/u/	u	cup	approx.	no	approx.	no	yes	no

	ENGLISH		TAGALOG		H	MONG	КС	DREAN	HAITIAN CREOLE	
Phoneme	Grapheme	Key Word	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?
Consonants										1
/b/	b	book	yes	yes	approx.	no	approx.	no	yes	yes
	C	carrot	yes	no		no	yes	no	yes	yes
/ k /	k	key	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
	ck	check	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/d/	d	desk	yes	yes	yes	yes	approx.	no	yes	yes
/f/	f	fish	yes	no	yes	yes	no	no	yes	yes
/g/	g	girl	yes	yes	approx.	no	approx.	no	yes	yes
/h/	h	hand	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	approx.	yes
	j	jacket	yes	no	no	no	approx.	no	yes	yes
/j/	g	cage	yes	no	no	no	approx.	no	yes	yes
	dge	badge	yes	no	no	no	approx.	no	yes	yes
/I/	I	lamp	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/m/	m	map	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/n/	n	newspaper	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/p/	р	pizza	yes	yes	approx.	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/kw/	qu	quarter	yes	yes	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/r/	r	red	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	yes	yes
	S	seed	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	approx.	approx.
/s/	C	city	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	approx.	approx.
/t/	t	ten	yes	yes	approx.	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/v/	v	van	no	no	yes	yes	no	no	yes	yes
/w/	w	window	yes	yes	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/ks/	x	six	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/у/	у	yellow	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/z/	z	zero	no	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	yes
Digraphs										-
	ch	chin	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/ch/	tch	match	approx	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/sh/	sh	shell	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/hw/	wh	whisk	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/th/	th	bath	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes
/ <u>th</u> /	th	this	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/ng/	ng	ring	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	approx.	approx.
Short Vowels			·							
/a/	а	map	no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/e/	e	ten	yes	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	no
/i/	i	lid	yes	yes	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/0/	0	dot	approx	no	approx.	yes	approx.	no	yes	yes
/u/	u	cup	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no

Phonics Transfer Chart, continued

	ENGLISH		SPANISH		CANTONESE		VIETNAMESE	
Phoneme	Grapheme	Key Word	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?
ong Vowels.								
/ā/	a_e	cake	yes	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
	ai	sail	yes	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
	ay	tray	yes	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
/ē/	ee	feet	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
	ea	sea	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
	У	happy	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
	i_e	bike	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
/ī/	ie	tie	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
/ 1/	igh	night	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
	У	sky	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
	o_e	globe	yes	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
/ō/	oa	boat	yes	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
	ow	rowboat	yes	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
	u_e	flutes	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	yes
/ū/	ui	suit	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
	ue	blue	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
(u_e	mule	yes	no	approx.	no	no	no
/yōō/	ue	rescue	yes	no	approx.	no	no	no
R-Controlled Vo	wels							
/är/	ar	star	approx.	yes	approx.	no	no	no
/ôr/	or	horn	approx.	yes	approx.	no	no	no
	er	fern	approx.	yes	approx.	no	no	no
/ûr/	ir	bird	approx.	no	approx.	no	no	no
	ur	curb	approx.	no	approx.	no	no	no
/âr/	air	chair	no	no	no	no	no	no
/ df/	ear	bear	no	no	no	no	no	no
/?= /	eer	deer	no	no	no	no	no	no
/îr/	ear	tear	no	no	no	no	no	no
/ariant Vowels							_	
/oi /	oi	coin	yes	yes	approx.	no	approx.	yes
/oi/	оу	boy	yes	yes	approx.	no	approx.	no
/ou /	ou	cloud	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
/ou/	ow	crown	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no
/\$ /	aw	saw	approx.	no	yes	no	yes	no
/ô/	au	laundry	approx.	no	approx.	no	yes	no
/âl /	al	salt	approx.	yes	approx.	no	no	no
/ôl/	all	ball	approx.	no	approx.	no	no	no
, — ,	00	moon	yes	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
/00/	ew	screw	yes	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
/00/	00	book	no	no	approx.	no	approx.	no
/ə/	a (initial syllable)	asleep	no	no	no	no	approx.	no

Phoneme Long Vowels /ā/	Grapheme	Key Word	Sound							
_		-	Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbol Match?	Sound Transfer?	Sound-Symbo Match?
/ā/										
/ā/	a_e	cake	no	no	approx.	no	yes	no	yes	yes
	ai	sail	no	no	approx.	no	yes	no	yes	no
	ay	tray	no	no	approx.	no	yes	no	yes	no
	ee	feet	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/ē/	ea	sea	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no
	У	happy	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no
	i_e	bike	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/ī/	ie	tie	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/ 1/	igh	night	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
	У	sky	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
	o_e	globe	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/ō/	oa	boat	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
	ow	rowboat	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
	u_e	flutes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes
/ū/	ui	suit	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
	ue	blue	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no
	u_e	mule	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no
/yōo/ ue	ue	rescue	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no
R-Controlled \	/owels									
/är/	ar	star	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no
/ôr/	or	horn	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no
	er	fern	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
/ûr/	ir	bird	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	ur	curb	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	air	chair	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
/âr/	ear	bear	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
	eer	deer	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
/îr/	ear	tear	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Variant Vowel										
	oi	coin	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/oi/	оу	boy	yes	yes	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes
	ou	cloud	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/ou/	ow	crown	yes	no	approx.	no	yes	no	yes	no
	aw	saw	no	no	approx.	no	approx.	no	yes	no
/ô/	au	laundry	approx	no	approx.	no	approx	no	yes	yes
	al	salt	no	no	no	no	approx.	no	yes	yes
/ôl/	all	ball	yes	no	no	no	approx.	no	yes	yes
	00	moon	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/00/	ew	screw	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
/00/	00	book		no						
/00/	a (initial syllable)	asleep	yes yes	yes	no	no	approx. yes	no	no yes	no yes

Articulation of English Consonant and Vowel Sounds

How Are Consonant Phonemes Classified?

Consonant phonemes are generally classified according to manner of articulation (type of consonant sound), place of articulation (position in the mouth), and whether they are voiced or voiceless. Consonant sounds are also classified as either a continuous sound or a complete stop. When a consonant phoneme is produced, the air flow is cut off either partially or completely.

CONSONANT PHONEME CLASSIFICATIONS

Manner of Articulation

How is the sound produced?

- **Plosives:** formed by closing or blocking off the air flow and then exploding a puff of air; for example, /b/ as in *box*.
- **Fricatives:** formed by narrowing the air channel and then forcing air through it, creating friction in the mouth; for example, /v/ as in *voice*.
- Affricatives: formed by a stop followed by a fricative; for example, / ch/ as in chip.
- **Nasals:** formed when the mouth is closed forcing air through the nose; for example, /m/ as in *man*.
- Lateral: formed by interrupting the air flow slightly, but no friction results; for example, /l/ as in line.
- **Glides:** formed in similar ways as vowels; for example, /y/ as in yes.

Place of Articulation

- Where in the mouth is the sound produced?
- Lips (bilabial)
- Lips and teeth (labiodental)
- Tongue between teeth (dental)
- Tongue behind teeth (alveolar)
- Roof of mouth (palatal)
- Back of mouth (velar)
- Throat (glottal)
- Voiced or Voiceless
- **Voiced:** the vocal cords vibrate; for example, /z/as in zoo.
- Voiceless: the vocal cords do not vibrate; for example, /s/ as in sit.

Continuous or Stop

- **Continuous:** a sound that can be produced for several seconds without distortion; for example, /s/ as in sun.
- Stop: a sound that can be produced for only an instant; for example, /p/ as in *pop*.

CONSONAN	T PHONEME ART	TICULATION					
Place ► ▼ Manner	Lips	Lips and teeth	Tongue between teeth	Tongue behind teeth	Roof of mouth	Back of mouth	Throat
Plosives	/p/ / b /*			/t/ / d /		/k/ /g/	
Fricatives		/f/ / v /	/th/ / <u>th</u> /	/S/ /Z/	/sh/ / zh /		/h/
Affricatives					/ch/ / j /		
Nasals	/ m /			/ n /		/ng/	
Lateral				/1/			
Glides	/hw/ / w /			/ r /	/ y /		

* Boldface indicates a voiced phoneme. Note the voiceless-voiced consonant pairs.

CONSONANT PHONEMES				
Continuous Sounds	Stop Sounds			
/f/, /h/, /l/, /m/, /n/, /r/, /s/, /v/, /w/, /y/, /z/	/b/, /d/, /g/, /j/, /p/, /t/, /k/, /ch/			

Some students may need extra practice in producing consonant sounds that do not transfer from their native languages. Use the scripts and information below to model and discuss the English consonant sounds.

/m/		Place of Articulation:	Let's say the sound /n/. Keep on saying /n/ and put your hand in front of your mouth. Does		
Place of Articulation: Lips Manner of Articulation: Nasal Voiced	Say the sound <i>/m/</i> . Pay attention to your mouth. What part of your mouth moves? (<i>lips</i>) How do they move? (<i>They are pressed tightly</i> <i>together</i> .) Does any air come out? (<i>yes</i>) Now hold your nose. Can you still say <i>/m/</i> ? (<i>no</i>) That's because air comes out through your nose.	Tongue behind teeth Manner of Articulation: Nasal Voiced	any air come out? (<i>no</i>). Now hold your nose. Can you say an / <i>n</i> / now? (<i>no</i>) What other sound did we practice where air came through the nose? (<i>/m</i> /) Say an / <i>m</i> / now. What part of your mouth did you use? (<i>lips</i>) We don't use our lips for the / <i>n</i> / sound. Say / <i>m</i> / and / <i>n</i> /. Where does your tongue move to? Say meat, neat. The tongue is behind the teeth for neat		
/p/			and air still comes out the nose.		
Place of Articulation: Lips Manner of Articulation: Stop Voiceless	Now say /p/. What part of your mouth moves? (<i>lips</i>) The lips help make /p/ also. Close your lips and then open them quickly. Does any air come out? (<i>yes</i> , <i>a lot</i>) When you say a /p/, you stop the air for a moment, and then you let the air rush out. Put your hand in front of your mouth and feel the air when you say /p/.	/d/ Place of Articulation: Tongue behind teeth Manner of	Now let's say /d/. Does a lot of air come out? (yes) How do you know that? (I put my hand in front of my mouth) Cover your ears when you make /d/. Do you use your voice in making this sound? (yes) Say /t/, /d/, /t/, /d/. How are		
/t/		Articulation: Stop	these sounds different? (We use our voice for $/d$ / but not for $/t$ /. That is the only difference.)		
Place of Articulation:Try making the sound /t/. Put your hand in front of your mouth. Do you feel a lot of air coming out? (yes) Now try to close your lips and say /t/. Does it work? (no) Another part of your mouth makes /t/. Can you feel what part? (the tongue) Where does it stop the air? (on the hard ridge behind your top teeth)		Voiced /g/	Voiced		
		Place of Articulation: Back of Mouth Manner of Articulation: Stop	Watch my face as I say a /g/ sound (as in get). Does any part of my face move? (no) (If someone does notice your throat, you should recognize the good observation and come back to it later.) Now let's make the sound and		
/b/		Voiced	see if a lot of air comes out. (yes) You should have put your hand up to be sure. Do you use		
Place of Articulation: Lips Manner of Articulation: Stop Voiced	Do you remember saying the /p/ sound? Say /p/. What makes the air stop? (<i>lips</i>) Do you feel a lot of air come out? (<i>yes</i>) Now use your mouth in the same way, but use your voice also. This sound is /b/. Do you think you really use your voice? Cover your ears and say /p/ and /b/. Which one sounds louder? (/b/) That is because you are using your voice. Say <i>pit</i> ,		your voice? How do you know? (<i>cover your</i> <i>ears</i>) Notice where your tongue stops the air when you start to make the sound. Is it in the front of your mouth or the back? (<i>in the back</i>) Now say $/k/$, $/g/$, $/k/$, $/g/$. These sounds are pronounced in the same place, but we use our voice for one. Which one do we use our voice for? ($/g/$)		
	<i>bit.</i> Notice that when you change only one sound, you can get a different word.	/1/			
/k/		Place of Articulation:	Say an ///. Does a lot of air come out? (<i>no</i>) The air is not stopped for /l/, so you don't		
Place of Articulation: Back of mouth Manner of Articulation: Stop Voiceless	Now try saying /k/. Put your hand in front of your mouth. Do you feel a lot of air come out? (yes) What stops the air? Do your lips move? (no) Do you put your tongue up behind your top teeth? (no) Where is your tongue? (the front is low because the back of the tongue stops the air.) Where? (in the back of the mouth) The /k/ is pronounced in the back of the mouth.	Tongue behind teeth Manner of Articulation: Lateral Voiced	feel a puff of air when you make this sound. Keep saying /l/. Can you say /t/ and hold it? (<i>no</i>) The air stops on /t/ and then rushes out. For /l/, the air flows out at both sides of the tongue. Can you tell me where the tip of the tongue is for /l/? (<i>on the upper ridge behind</i> <i>the front teeth</i>)		

/n/

/f/		/w/		
Place of Articulation: Lips and teeth Manner of Articulation: Fricative Voiceless	Try saying an /f/ sound. Can you keep saying this sound? (yes) Watch my face as I say the sound /f/. What part of my mouth moved? (Students may say lips.) Watch again closely. Do both lips move? (no, just one) Which one, the upper lip or the lower lip? (the lower lip) Say the sound /f/ yourself. The lower lip comes up close to your upper teeth and makes the air sound noisy. Keep saying the /f/ and listen to the noise of the air.	Place of Articulation: Lips Manner of Articulation: Glide Voiced	For the next sound, I am going to get ready to say it, but I won't say it. Can you guess what sound it is? (round your lips to pronounce /w/, but do not say it.) Can you tell me what sound I was going to make? (/w/) Now let's all make the /w/ sound. Which part of your mouth moves? (<i>lips</i>) Wha do they do? (get round and tight) (Do you use your voice to say the /w/ sound? (yes) Say <i>itch</i> , then witch. Does the /w/ sound make a difference in meaning? (yes)	
/h/ Place of		/sh/		
Articulation: Throat Manner of Articulation: Fricative Voiceless	Now say /h/, /h/, /h/ and hold your hand in front of your mouth. Do you feel a puff of air? (yes) Now say eee. Then say he, he, he. Did you feel a difference from when you said eee? (yes) Now say ooo, ho, ho, ho. When we put a small puff of air before another sound, it is the /h/ sound. Say I, hi, or it, hit. Do you think the /h/ sound makes a difference in the meaning? (yes)	Place of Articulation: Roof of mouth Manner of Articulation: Fricative Voiceless	Next, we will make another sound where the lips are round but not as tight. Try / sh/. Can you keep saying this sound? (yes) (Have a student stand in the corner of the room and make the /sh/.) Could everyone hear (student's name) make the /sh/? (yes) The air is very noisy. Remember, the air gets noisy when it rushes past a close or narrow place. The lips help make this narrow place,	
/r/ Place of	Try coving the cound /r/ Con you keep		and so does the top of the front part of the tongue. The tip of the tongue comes close to	
Articulation:	Try saying the sound /r/. Can you keep saying this sound for a while? (yes) /r/ does		the roof of your mouth.	
Tongue behind teeth	not stop the air. Now stop making /r/, but keep your tongue ready to say the sound.	/s/		
Manner of Articulation: Glide Voiced	Take a deep breath and notice your tongue. The part of your tongue that feels cool is the part that helps to make this sound. It is the under part of the tip of your tongue. This part comes close to a part of your mouth. What part of your mouth does the tongue come close to? (<i>the roof of the mouth</i>) Say at. Now put the /r/ first and say rat. Do at and rat mean different things? (Yes, the /r/ makes a difference in meaning.)	Place of Articulation: Tongue behind teeth Manner of Articulation: Fricative Voiceless	Now watch my lips as I switch from making / sh/ to /s/. How do my lips change? (They are not round.) Does the air make a lot of noise in /s/? (yes) Are your teeth close together or far apart? (close together) The top of your tongue makes this sound also, but it has moved from the ridge to come close to another part of your mouth. Can you tell where? (It comes close behind your teeth.) Say she, see, or ship, sip. Do you think /s/ makes a difference in meaning? (yes)	

How Are Vowel Phonemes Classified?

When a consonant phoneme is produced, the air flow is cut off either partially or completely. When a vowel phoneme is produced, however, the air flow is unobstructed, or continuous. Vowel phonemes are all continuous sounds. They are classified according to tongue position and mouth position. All vowel sounds are voiced.

VOWEL PHONEME CLASSIFICATIONS

Tongue Position

1. Is the tongue high, in neutral position, or low in the mouth?

2. Is the tongue near the front, center, or back of the mouth?

Mouth Position

1. How rounded are the lips?

2. How tense are the mouth and jaw muscles?

In the chart below, the most common English spellings are listed under each vowel sound. Notice that to produce the $/\bar{e}/$ sound in the word *tree*, the mouth position is wide and smiling; the jaw muscles are tense. To pronounce the /o/ sound in the word *lot*, the mouth position is round and wide open; the jaw muscles are relaxed. To pronounce the $/\bar{oo}/$ sound in the word *boot*, the mouth position is round and partially open; the jaw muscles are tense.

VOWEL PHONEMES BY MOUTH POSITION

